

November 21st, 2024

Grant Writers Network Meeting

Luan M. Nguyen

Agenda



- Luan's Introduction & Featured Works
- Announcement: Ulowa Business Leadership Network's Community Grant Program
- Incorporating Data Make Numbers Count in Your Grant Proposal
 - Common Data Sources
 - Translating Stats
 - Qualitative and Quantitative Data to Support Your Proposed Problem
 - Evaluation Metrics
- Mock Proposal Review for Network Members What Do You Think?

About Luan



Education

M.A. in *Cultural Anthropology* – Global Development

M.S in *Biochemistry*

B.S. in *Chemistry*

Work History

Technical Writer/Editor & Marketing Specialist – Center for Biocatalysis and Bioprocessing, The University of Iowa

Research/Teaching Assistant – Iowa State University

Luan's Featured Works



Featured Works Supported by NIH & NSF

- 1. Nguyen, L. M., et al (2023). COVID-19 pandemic reveals challenges in engineering ethics education. *International Journal of Ethics Education*, 8(1), 99-127.
- 2. Nguyen, L., et al. (2021). Perception of the Culture of Disengagement by Minoritized Students. *American Society for Engineering Education*.
- 3. Nguyen, L. M., & Roche, J. (2017). High-pressure NMR techniques for the study of protein dynamics, folding and aggregation. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, *277*, 179-185.

Announcement



Grant Opportunity from Ulowa's Business Leadership Network

- Opportunity: Community Grant Program to support community well-being
- Deadline: January 13, 2025
- Eligibility: nonprofits, local governments
- Amount: up to **\$3,000**
- Match requirement: 1:1 cash or in-kind match
- Topics: healthy lifestyles, population health, addressing health disparities, workplace safety/wellness, community needs assessment, children and youth issues, healthy aging, arts and health collaborations, and sustainability of multigenerational, livable communities. Additional preference will be given to projects that are focused on rural areas or address nutrition or food insecurity.
- Link: https://www.public-health.uiowa.edu/bln-community-grant-program/





Outline

- This section discusses some common data sources & how to use data effectively.
- Statistics from these sources (or in general) can be complex and not easily understandable to the readers.
- "Translating" complex stats can be helpful for your grant proposal reviewers.
- Stats or quantitative data can be strengthened using qualitative data.
- How to use both qualitative and quantitative data to support different sections of your proposal
 - Let's use the problem statement (the "why") and evaluation metrics as examples.



Common Data Sources

- 1. Economic data: <u>Bureau of Economic Analysis</u> (US Department of Commerce), <u>World Bank, UN Department of Economic & Social Affairs, StatsAmerica,</u>
- 2. Census data: Census Reporter, IPUMS
- 3. Health data: <u>Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation</u>, <u>IHME Visualization Tool</u>, <u>HealthData.gov</u>,
- 4. General: <u>Our World in Data</u> (visualization), <u>Social Explorer</u>, <u>World Mapper</u>, <u>The World Factbook</u>, <u>Kaggle Datasets</u>, <u>Gapminder</u>, <u>Human Development Index</u>



Recommendation for using stats

- Human brains are not conditioned to understand large numbers.
- Your stats might work against you if your numbers cannot evoke a sense of urgency or emotion.
- > Don't make reviewers exhaustedly use their imagination, translate those stats!



Example: Consider the two statements below. Which one do you think is better?

- 3% of Earth's water is fresh water. Of that, only 1.2% can be used for drinking.
- If a gallon jug is all of Earth's water, the entire world population will have to share 27 drops of water from this jug.
- > Reviewers know what a gallon jug is. Most people can tell that 27 drops of water mean very little.

Qualitative Data



Support quantitative data with qualitative data

- Testimonials, interviews, survey responses, observation notes...
- Qualitative data can add clarification and increase the impact of your narrative.

Examples: supporting your stats with qualitative data.

- 68% of engineering students of minoritized backgrounds left their program before their senior year in college due to various forms of microaggression.
- One of the students interviewed said: "...The little insults or stereotypes that I had to deal with everyday from my professors and my own classmates were too much. It's like death by 5000 needles. So, I left."



The problem statement is difficult.

- This is the section in a proposal where you tell why you are asking for funding.
- To write this section, you need to have literature (articles, newspapers, etc.) to demonstrate that this is a serious problem.
- It is usually the most difficult to write because it requires you to summarize a large amount of information into just a few paragraphs.
- It will be helpful to focus your writing on some common themes (in the literature).



Start with Qualitative Data

- Are there common themes across all the articles/resources that you read?
- Qualitative "coding" can be helpful when looking for common themes.

Common theme	Source	Count
Theme 1	Article 1 to 20	Mentioned 45 times
Theme 2	Article 1 to 20	Mentioned 36 times
Theme 3	Article 1 to 20	Mentioned 24 times



Support those common themes with Quantitative Data

- How are these common themes relevant to the problem you want to address?
- Is your problem a local, national, or international issue? Why someone needs to care about it?
- Break down ("translate") relevant complex stats for each theme.



Support those common themes with Quantitative Data

- Different funders will want to see different levels or types of data (see examples in the table).
- A comparison between local, national, or international data can be useful.

Common theme	Local Stats	National Stats	International Stats
Theme 1	1 in 3	45%	Lowest among Global North countries
Theme 2	8 out of 10	20%	Least efficient among North America
Theme 3	Much higher than	10%	Higher than EU countries

Program Evaluation Metrics



Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches to Program Evaluation:

- Let's use our *Fueling the Future* program as an example: the program aims to provide upskill training in high-demand fields for parents of children on food assistance programs. The goal is to increase their incomes as a pathway out of poverty and food insecurity.
- What metrics can you think of to evaluate this program?

Program Evaluation Metrics



Quantitative Metrics

- Usage of resources
- Recruitment
- Outreach
- Diversity
- Retention rate
- Graduation rate
- Post-graduation employment

- Monthly incomes
- Employment retention
- Number of children still on food assistance (6 months, 1 year, ...)
- What other social programs they still need post-graduation (6 months, 1 year,...)

Program Evaluation Metrics



Qualitative Methods

- Satisfaction with their training
- Interviews
- Feedback
- What they like the most about the program?
- What they like the least about the program?
- Will they recommend this program to others?
- In house visits (if possible)

Why these? Do we need this many?

Proposal Review



What do you think about a peer review session for your grant proposals?

Questions & Feedback



Your feedback will help improve future meetings.

https://forms.office.com/r/xGEhrJpPcb



It was wonderful to meet you. Thank you!

Grant Writers Network Website

https://www.givinggreater.org/grantwritersnetwork